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Abstract
DOM parsing approach will consume a lot of memory size when it parses large XML document. This paper proposes an improved method of DOM parsing approach---parsing approach of delaying extension and reducing redundancy. This method reduces the size of the object created by delaying expanded document, whose purpose is to reduce the memory size used. At the same time it improves the performance of the system by reducing the redundancy of the string stored. After analyzing the new algorithm, improvement on it by Hash table is used. It reduces process time and increases parsing efficiency of system further. This paper describes the new algorithm based on this method above and programs it using Delphi6.0. Seven different sizes of XML document were tested based on the new algorithm and DOM parsing approach. The test results demonstrate this algorithm is feasible and effective.
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1. Introduction
DOM is a standard API developed by W3C for browsing the XML document. It not only provides a complete representation for XML document stored in the memory, but also provides the method to access to the entire document randomly. The user can regard document as a structural information tree by DOM. The parser of the XML document designed by DOM approach enable developers to use the document Information repeatedly. However, the consumption of memory size is very impressive when the document is very large. In order to reduce the memory size, we must improve existing DOM parsing approach.

When parse an XML document using DOM parsing approach, all documents node are regarded as an expanded tree structure in memory. And usually the TEXT part of the node accounted for a lot of memory size in the XML document. Therefore we should study the TEXT part of the node in order to reduce the memory size. The approach taken in this paper is the TEXT part of the node is not expanded in the tree structure in memory, instead some index. These indexes are the index number of the TEXT part of the node in an array. At the same time we use the method of reducing data redundancy, which use the same index when the contents of the node are the same.

2. Design Idea and Realization of Algorithm
2.1 Design Idea
During the scanning process, each part of the document is expanded into a document tree in memory (except for the TEXT part of the document), which is similar to the DOM parsing approach. The TEXT part of the document is saved in an dynamic array, and the index number of the TEXT part in dynamic array is recorded in the document tree. In the dynamic array the same TEXT value only occupies one memory space. The same TEXT value only use an index number in the document tree expanded, which reduce the data redundancy and the memory size.

Example 1: An XML document
```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<a>
  <b>China</b>
  <c>America</c>
  <d>Britain</d>
  <e>
    <f>China</f>
  </e>
</a>
```
The result of parsing the document above using new algorithm is as follows:

```
<xml>
  <a>
    <b>
      <c>
        <d>
          <e>
            <f>
              <g>China</g>
            </f>
            <h>America</h>
            <i>America</i>
          </e>
        </d>
        <e>
          <f>
            <g>America</g>
          </f>
          <h>France</h>
          <i>America</i>
        </e>
        <e>
          <f>
            <g>America</g>
          </f>
          <h>France</h>
          <i>America</i>
        </e>
      </c>
    </b>
  </a>
</xml>
```

Fig. 1 Expanded tree and dynamic array for document 1 in memory

2.2 Implementation of Algorithm

(1) Parsing algorithm of the XML document
   ① Create a dynamic array, and parse on the basis of DOM parsing methods.
   ② When the parsing program scans TEXT part, allocating a space for dynamic array to storage TEXT value. Then index number of array obtained is added to the DOM tree.
   ③ When it scans the next TEXT part, firstly in dynamic array the parsing program queries whether the TEXT value has the same value as one of array. If so, the index number of array obtained is added to the DOM tree. If not, go to step 2.

LEX and YACC are design tools for the compiler and interpreter. They are used in this paper in order to it easier for realization of parsing algorithm. The corresponding procedure is as follows:

Procedure 1: LEX program (Lexical analysis):

```
[\t ]+ ;

\n lineno:=lineno+1;
```

```
%%
[t ]+         ;
```

```
\n lineno:=lineno+1;
```

```
\<    return(ZKH);
[a-zA-Z]+  begin
  s:=yytext;
  return(ZF);
end;
\>
return(YKH);
\V
return(XG);
```

```
Procedure 2: YACC program (Grammatical analysis):

%token ZKH ZF YKH XG

%
arule: rule1 arule rule3
|arule rule1 arule rule3
|arule rule1 rule2 rule3
|rule1 rule2 rule3
rule1: ZKH ZF YKH
{new(w);
  w^.name:=s;
  w^.left:=NIL;
  w^.right:=NIL;
  w^.parent:=NIL;
  if s='xml' then p:=w
```
else if p^.left=NIL then
begin
p^.left:=w;
w^.parent:=p;
p:=p^.left;
end
else begin
h:=p^.left;
while(h^.right<>NIL) do
h:=h^.right;
h^.right:=w;
w^.parent:=p;
p:=w;
end;
}

rule2: ZF
(if length(arry)>0 then
begin
for i:=0 to high(arry) do
if arry[i]=s then
begin
flag:=1;
p^.data:=inttostr(i);
break;
end;
end;
if flag=0 then
begin
count:=count+1;
SetLength(arry,count);
arry[count-1]:=s;
p^.data:=inttostr(i);
end;
end;
}

(2) Query algorithm of XML document
Procedure 3: query algorithm
Input (a, s): “a” is pointer of pointing at root node of
document tree generated after parse. “s” is the name of the
document element to query.
Output: Display the element name and the element content
in the ListBox of the window.
procedure find(a:point; s:string);
begin
if a<>NIL then
begin

{ if a^.name=s then
// If the name of the node which the pointer pointed to
is the same as the string to query
if a^.data<>' ' then
{ form1.ListBox1.Items.Add('</'+s+'>');
form1.ListBox1.Items.Add('<'+s+'>');
form1.ListBox1.Items.Add(arry[strtoint(trim(a.data))]);
}
if a^.data=' ' then
{ pp:=a; def(a);};
}
find(a^.left,s); // Recursion to search the children node
that pointer pointed to;
find(a^.right,s); // Recursion to search the brother
node that pointer pointed to;
}
end;

(3) Increase algorithm of XML document
Procedure 4: increase algorithm
Input(a,s,s1,s2): “a” is pointer of pointing at root node of
document tree generated after parse. “s” is the name of
document element. “s1” is the element name to be added.
“s2” is element value to be added.
Output: Add a brother node to element node of document
tree specified in memory.
Procedure add(a:point; s:string; s1:string; s2:string);
var i: integer;
begin
if a<>NIL then
begin
if a^.name=s then
{ if a^.name=s then
// If the node name which the pointer pointed to is the
same as the node name to be added after it.
{ new(xin); xin^:=Txml.create;
xin^.name:=s1; flag:=0;
if length(arry)>0 then
{ for i:=0 to high(arry) do
If arry[i]=s2 then {flag:=1;xin^.data:=inttostr(i);
break;}
}
if flag=0 then
{count:=count+1; SetLength(arry,count); arry[count-1]:=s2;
in^.data:=inttostr(count-1);
}
}
xin^.left:=NIL; xin^.parent:=a^.parent;
xin^.right:=a^.right; a^.right:=xin;ww:=1;
}
if ww=0 then
//Ensure that document only add a node Everytime.
{ add(a^.left,s,s1,s2); // Recursion to add a children node
that pointer pointed to;
add(a^.right,s,s1,s2);
2.3 Analysis of Algorithm

Although the new algorithm has advantage in memory consumption, but there will be additional costs in parsing process. In parsing process, when we read an element value, first in dynamic array to find out whether them have the same value. If so, we only add index value found in the document tree. If not, we assign a space for dynamic array, and add element value in it. Then the corresponding index number is added in the document tree. When the XML document is very large, dynamic array will be numerous. At this time we need to compare with all dynamic array value to find out whether one of them has the same value as the element value being read. This will be more time consumption.

3. Optimization of Algorithm

3.1 Optimization Idea

The ideal situation is that we get the record queried only one access without comparison. We should build a certain corresponding relationship \( f \) between store location of record and its key words. We can find \( \text{MAP} f(K) \) to given value \( K \) according to the corresponding relationship when we search. If the key word of record is the same as \( K \) in structure, the store location must be location of \( f(K) \). Consequently, we can get the record queried directly without comparison.

We call the corresponding relationship \( f \) for Hash function, and the table created according to the idea for Hash table.

3.2 Construction of Hash Function

For XML document, the element value of document may be long or short. It also may be digit, letter, Chinese characters or combination of them. In this optimization we use resulting "middle square method". For XML document, the key word we take is divided into three parts: primacy of string, neutral position of string, end position of string. Take out the value of the three parts, and the average value got is regard as the key word. The algorithm of square to the key word is as follows:

Input(\( SJ, M \)): “\( SJ \)” is the element value of document, that is string; “\( M \)” is node counts of leaves that Documents prior to traverse.

Output(\( AJ \)): address \( AJ \) of string \( SJ \) in Hash table.

(1) Take out the internal code of initiatory 5 bytes of string to \( SJ_1 \), take out the internal code of
intermediate 5 bytes of string to $SJ_2$, take out the internal code of final 5 bytes of string to $SJ_3$;

(2) $SJ = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} SJ_i$;

(3) Take out Intermediate $\sqrt[3]{M}$ from $SJ^2$ to $AJ$.

3.3 Approach of Processing Conflict

We may get the same Hash address for different key words. In general, the conflict only reduces as much as possible. But it cannot avoid completely. So how to deal with conflict is essential to structure hash table.

We use the "linear detection to hash method" in "open address method" in order to resolve conflict. The reference formula is as follows:

$$H = (H(key) + d_i) \mod m, i = 1, 2, \ldots, k (k \leq m - 1)$$

Among them, "H(key)" is Hash function. "m" is length of Hash table. "$d_i$" is Incremental sequence.

3.4 Algorithm Analysis with Hash Table in Parsing

The search by Hash table in parsing document may have comparison because of production of conflict. But the comparison of the average search length can be limited within limits. And direct map have been build between most of key words and store location of record. They don’t need compare. So Hash table can reduce comparand of search in parsing XML document and reduce the cost of time. We only need to structure function in search by Hash table approach, which have nothing to do with the length of Hash table. It’s time complexity is $O(1)$, which is far less than parsing approach of delaying extension and reducing redundancy by dynamic array, whose time complexity is $O(n)$.

4. THE RESULT OF THE EXPERIMENT

In this paper, we design a XML parser based on parsing approach of delaying extension and reducing redundancy. In order to test the merit of new approach, we program DOM parsing approach and improved parsing approach respectively using Delphi6.0, and seven sets of data are contrasted. The results are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table1: Parsed numerical results for seven document</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of the XML document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory size occupied based on DOM approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory size occupied based on new approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.2 Contrast diagram of two kinds of parsing approach
It can be seen from table 1 and fig.2 that new approach has obvious advantage over DOM approach, especially in the use of memory resources. As is shown in fig. 2, Memory size occupied based on new approach is smaller than Memory size occupied based on DOM approach. When parsing document increases, grow rate of memory size based on new approach is significantly lower than ordinary DOM parsing approach.

5. Conclusion

(1) This paper proposes an improved method of DOM parsing approach--- parsing approach of delaying extension and reducing redundancy. It can resolve the question of consumption of a lot of memory size and reduce redundancy of system, which increase parsing efficiency of system.

(2) After analysing the new algorithm, improvement on it by Hash table is used. It reduces process time and increases parsing efficiency of system further.

(3) We program DOM parsing approach and improved parsing approach respectively using Delphi6.0, and seven sets of data are contrasted. The test results demonstrate this algorithm is feasible and effective.
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