Market Basket Analysis for a Supermarket based on Frequent

Itemset Mining

Loraine Charlet Annie M.C.¹ and Ashok Kumar D²

¹ Department of Computer Science, Government Arts College Trichy, India

² Department of Computer Science, Government Arts College Trichy, India

Abstract

Market basket analysis is an important component of analytical system in retail organizations to determine the placement of goods, designing sales promotions for different segments of customers to improve customer satisfaction and hence the profit of the supermarket. These issues for a leading supermarket are addressed here using frequent itemset mining. The frequent itemsets are mined from the market basket database using the efficient K-Apriori algorithm and then the association rules are generated.

Keywords: Association Rules, Frequent Itemsets, K-Apriori, Market Basket Analysis.

1. Introduction

One of the challenges for companies that have invested heavily in customer data collection is how to extract important information from their vast customer databases and product feature databases, in order to gain competitive advantage. Several aspects of market basket analysis have been studied in academic literature, such as using customer interest profile and interests on particular products for oneto-one marketing [1], purchasing patterns in a multi-store environment [2] to improve the sales. Market basket analysis has been intensively used in many companies as a means to discover product associations and base a retailer's promotion strategy on them.

Informed decision can be made easily about product placement, pricing, promotion, profitability and also finds out, if there are any successful products that have no significant related elements. Similar products can be found so those can be placed near each other or it can be cross-sold.

A retailer must know the needs of customers and adapt to them. Market basket analysis is one possible way to find out which items can be put together. Market basket analyses gives retailer good information about related sales on group of goods basis Customers who buy s bread often also buy several products related to bread like milk, butter or jam. It makes sense that these groups are placed side by side in a retail center so that customers can access them quickly. Such related groups of goods also must be located side-by-side in order to remind customers of related items and to lead them through the center in a logical manner.

Market basket analysis is one of the data mining methods [3] focusing on discovering purchasing patterns by extracting associations or co-occurrences from a store's transactional data. Market basket analysis determines the products which are bought together and to reorganize the supermarket layout, and also to design promotional campaigns such that products' purchase can be improved. Hence, the Market consumer behaviors need to be analyzed, which can be done through different data mining techniques.

Data mining finds interesting patterns from databases such as association rules, correlations, sequences, classifiers, clusters and many more of which the mining of association rules is one of the most popular problems. Association rule mining finds interesting association or correlation relationships among a large set of data items. Association rules are derived from the frequent itemsets using support and confidence as threshold levels. The sets of items which have minimum support are known as Frequent Itemset. The support of an itemset is defined as the proportion of transactions in the data set which contain the itemset. Confidence is defined as the measure of certainty or trustworthiness associated with each discovered pattern. Association rules derived depends on confidence. Frequent itemset generation is done using data mining algorithms like Apriori [4], FP-Growth Algorithm [5], Eclat [6] and K-Apriori [7]. Apriori algorithm for frequent itemset mining is given below.



Apriori algorithm for Frequent Itemset Mining Cd_n : Candidate itemset of size n L_n : frequent itemset of size n $L_1 = \{frequent items\};$ For $(n=1; L_n != \phi; n++)$ Do begin $Cd_{n+1} = candidates generated from L_n;$ For each transaction T in database do Increment the count of all candidates in Cd_{n+1} that are contained in T $L_{n+1} = candidates in Cd_{n+1}$ with min_support End Return $\bigcup_n L_n$

Apriori algorithm is a level-wise, breadth-first algorithm which counts transactions Apriori algorithm uses prior knowledge of frequent itemset properties. Apriori uses an iterative approach known as a level-wise search, in which n-itemsets are used to explore (n+1)itemsets. To improve the efficiency of the level-wise generation of frequent itemsets Apriori property is used here. Apriori property insists that all non-empty subsets of a frequent itemset must also be frequent. This is made possible because of the anti-monotone property of support measure - the support for an itemset never exceeds the support for its subsets. A two-step process consists of join and prune actions are done iteratively.

The most influential algorithm for efficient association rule discovery from market databases is K-Apriori which uses the above mentioned Apriori property. This algorithm shows good performance with sparse datasets hence it is considered. The K-Apriori algorithm extracts a set of frequent itemsets from the data, and then pulls out the rules with the highest information content for different groups of customers by dividing the customers in different clusters.

2. Anantha store description

Market basket analysis can be used to learn more about customer behavior. The methodology of market basket analysis in Anantha stores is to discover the selling documents with the items for the transactions. Here the Copy bills are the selling documents considered here. This logic is valid for item-related market basket analysis. Anantha stores are a supermarket that has for years among the top supermarkets in the Tirunelveli city. Anantha stores are organized in eight separate sections. (a)Household items (b) Fruits and vegetables (c)Bakery (d) Kitchen wares (e)Toys (f)Gifts, (g)Textiles and (h)Pharmacy.

The household section includes more than 700 items with different brands and prices. This is the main section of this store which provides the major revenue. It

provides approximately 75% of the profit for this supermarket.

Customers include small retail shops, products' agents and normal individuals. The supermarket makes almost 45% of its sales revenues by selling goods in wholesale for small retail shops. Then, 18% revenue comes from hotels and remaining 37% from the normal retailers. Wholesale has business relations with more than 250 buyers, and Wholesale issues approximately 3000 invoices with total 2,200 items weekly. Retail sells goods to about 600 end consumers daily.

2.1. Marketing and sales promotion campaigns

When sales campaigns are prepared, promoted items must be chosen very carefully. The main goal of a campaign is to entice customers to visit Anantha Stores and to buy more than they usually do. Margins on promoted items are usually cut; therefore, additional nonpromoted items with higher margins should be sold together with promoted items. Therefore, the related items must be chosen to make effective promotions such that promoted items must generate sales of non-promoted items. Customers who buy a kitchen appliance often also buy several other kitchen appliances. It makes sense that these groups are placed side by side in a retail center so that customers can access them quickly. Such related groups of goods also must be located side-by-side in order to remind customers of related items and to lead them through the center in a logical manner. When different additional brands are sold together with the basic brands, the revenue from the basic brands is not decreasing, but increasing.

2.2. Information systems

Market basket analysis targets customer baskets in order to monitor buying patterns and improve customer satisfaction (Microstrategy: Business Intelligence in the Retail Industry, Microstrategy World 2003 Conference, Las Vegas, 2003). Market basket analysis is an important component of analytical CRM in retail organizations. By analysing, recurring patterns in order to offer related goods together can be found and therefore the sales can be increased. Sales on different levels of goods classifications and on different customer segments can be tracked easily. Market basket analysis will be taken into consideration to improve the sales in Anantha Store.

Different analyses and reports were performed in Anantha store' transactional information systems, much of the analytical data was held in Excel spreadsheets and Access databases. The inventory levels of each item in the supermarket on a monthly basis are stored in Access database and enables detailed inventory analyses and detection of critical items. All the time it tries to use adequate analytical and data mining methodologies in order to improve the whole system of business reporting. Key success factors such as net margin, net margin per item, net margin per customer, number of new customers are measured and reported on monthly basis.

2.3. Binary data Pre-processing

Market Basket Data is taken from Anantha Store, Tirunelveli, TN, India for the duration of 7 months from July 2011 till January 2012. Anantha Store is one of the largest departmental stores in Tirunelveli city, Tamil Nadu, India.

In this dissertation, the transaction is observed from copy bills or invoice copies which contain the items purchased by different customers. Copy bill is the duplicate copy of the bills generated in the system which is used for future reference. Each copy bill is considered as a transaction. On an average 962 transactions are done per day. There are around 850 household items, 45 vegetables, 90 bakery products, 290 kitchen wares, 450 toys and gifts. Since the household section provides major profit of the store, household items are considered for this market basket analysis. Using the copy bills item names' are coded as I1 to I850 for the different transactions which is numbered as TR00001 to TR09620 for 10 days. The data are converted into a 9620X302 binary data. For easy and effective processing matrix format is considered with Transactions as rows and the item names' as columns for the binary data.

For a specific transaction i, if an item j is purchased then the matrix position (i,j) is made as 1. If the item j is not purchased in the transaction i then the matrix position (i,j) will be made as 0. Some dummy transactions will be there with no items, it should be rejected. The goal is to find the frequent items which occur together and so transactions with one or two items is rejected for effectiveness. Transactions with more number of items will provide useful information about customers' behaviour.

2.4. Customer segmentation

The complexity and especially the diversity of phenomena have forced society to organize the customers based on their similarities on their purchase behavior. Clustering partition a data set into several dis-joint groups such that points in the same group are similar to each other according to some similarity metric. Clustering is useful to build and identify the different clusters or segments of a market.

In K-Apriori algorithm, initially the binary data is clustered such that the customers are categorized and then the clusters' frequent itemsets are generated. The binary data is clustered using the standard K-means algorithm based on the linear wiener transformation. Binary data is linearly wiener transformed and then clustered using the K-means algorithm which is described in section III as separate functions with names wiener() for wiener transformation and kmeans() for K-means algorithm. K-Apriori algorithm addresses different customer groups' satisfaction using this clustering property.

3. K-Apriori algorithm

K-Apriori [7] is based on the Apriori property and the Association rule generation procedure of the Apriori algorithm. Initially, the binary data is transformed into real domain using linear Wiener transformation. The Wiener transformed data is partitioned using the multi-pass Kmeans algorithm. Then the Apriori procedure is executed for the K clusters in which the sets of items which are greater than minimum support (min_sup) are found iteratively. Using these frequent itemsets based on confidence, Association rules are derived. The items in the clusters are very similar, so that multiple and high informative frequent itemsets are effectively generated in the K-Apriori algorithm. The K-Apriori algorithm is given as follows

K-Apriori Algorithm for Frequent Itemset Mining

Input: Binary data matrix X of size p x q, K

Output: Frequent Itemsets and Association rules

//Binary data is transformed to real data using Wiener transformation on a vector basis.

 $V = Call function wiener2 (X_i)$

 $//B_i X_i$ is a vector i of X

//Calculate K clusters $(C_1, C_2, ..., C_K)$ for V using

K-means algorithm

 $\{C_1, C_2, ..., C_K\} = Call function kmeans (V, K)$

For each cluster C_i

 Cd_n : Candidate itemset of size n

 L_n : frequent itemset of size n

 $L_1 = \{ frequent \ items \};$

For (n=1; $L_n != \phi \phi$; n++)

Do begin

 Cd_{n+1} = candidates generated from L_n ;

For each transaction T in database do

Increment the count of all candidates in Cd_{n+1} which are

contained in T

 L_{n+1} = candidates in Cd_{n+1} with min_support

End

 $\bigcup_n L_n$ are the frequent itemsets generated

End

End

Function wiener2 (X_i)

Input : Binary data vector X_i of size 1 X q

Output : Transformed data vector Y_i of size 1 X q

Step 1: Calculate the mean μ for the input vector X_i around each

element $\frac{1}{NM}\sum_{n1,n2\in\eta}X(n1,n2)$ where $\eta\eta$ is

the local neighborhood of each element

Step 2: Calculate the variance σ^2 around each element for the vector $\frac{1}{NM}\sum_{n1,n2\in\eta}X^2(n1,n2)-\mu)$

where η is the local neighborhood of each element

Step 3: Perform wiener transformation for each element in the vector using equation Y based on its neighborhood

$$Y(n_{1,}, n_{2}) = \mu + \frac{\sigma^2 - \lambda^2}{\sigma^2} (X(n_{1,}, n_{2}) - \mu)$$

where $\lambda^2 \lambda^2$ is the average of all the local estimated variances.

Function kmeans (V, K)

Input: Wiener Transformed data matrix V and number of clusters K.

Output: K clusters

Step 1: Choose initial cluster centroids $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_K$ randomly from the N points; $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p, X_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$

where q is the number of features/attributes

Step 2: Assign point X_i , i = 1, 2, ..., p to cluster C_j ,

where j = 1, 2, ..., K, if and only if $||X_i - Z_j|| < ||X_i - Z_t||$, t = 1, 2, ..., K. and $j \neq t$. Ties are resolved arbitrarily.

Step3: Compute the new cluster centroids

 $Z_I^*, Z_2^*, \dots, Z_K^* as_{l_j}^1 \sum_{X_j \in C_j} X_i \qquad Z_i^* = \frac{1}{l_j} \sum_{X_j \in C_j} X_i$

where i = 1, 2, ..., K, and $l_i l_i = Number of points in C_i$.

Step 4: If $Z_i^* = Z_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., K then terminate. Otherwise $Z_i \leftarrow Z_i^*$ and go to step 2.

4. Experimental Results

From the household section of the Anantha store, sample market basket dataset is taken using the invoice copies or copy bills of the supermarket. 9620X302 sample Binary dataset is manipulated with Apriori and K-Apriori algorithm and the results are shown below. For K-Apriori algorithm, K is selected as 3 (3 clusters) for this comparison. From the table 5.1, Apriori and K-Apriori algorithms are compared based on the frequent itemsets and association rules generated. Apriori algorithm provides output only for very low support values. Very low support values are meaningless because it shows nothing about the customers' behavior.

Table 1: Apriori & K-Apriori Result analysis for Supermarket dataset with confidence =100%

Suppo	Maximum Number of Frequent Itemset		Total Number of Frequent Itemsets		Total Number of Association rules	
rt (70)	Aprio ri	K- Aprio ri	Aprio ri	K- Aprio ri	Aprio ri	K- Aprio ri
4	3	5	45	2035	30	9684
5	2	5	29	2009	2	9656
6	2	5	27	2009	2	9656
10	1	5	1	1830	0	8916
20	0	5	0	1829	0	1562

The frequent itemsets (FIs) generated for Apriori are given below,

l-items	set are	3	11	12	13	15
	20	22	23	24	25	26
	29	32	34	35	39	40
	44	47	50	61	179	190
	291	293	297			

2-itemset is[22, 23].

Association Rules (ARs) generated are

 $I22 \rightarrow 23$ and $I23 \rightarrow I22$

are the 2 exact rules of K-Apriori algorithm for 50% support. It implies that

"if I22 item is purchased, then I23 will be purchased" and

" if I23 item is purchased together, then I22 will be purchased" with 100% confidence.

Apriori algorithm implies that I22 and I23 items are frequently purchased together with 100% confidence for 5% of the population. Only one 2-itemset is generated with twenty two 1-itemsets. Apriori algorithm provides 3itemsets for 4% support and 2-itemsets for 5% support.

K-Apriori provides 5-itemsets upto 20% support values. Apriori derives only 2 ARs for 5% support which provides no useful customer information and nothing for higher support values. But, K-Apriori generates 1830 FIs for 10% and 20% support respectively. To get the consumer behaviour of the store at least 40% support is needed but with Apriori it is impossible. It is possible for K-Apriori in higher support values.

K-Apriori generates FIs and their ARs which are tabulated in the following tables. K-Apriori provides large number of FIs and ARs for lower support values. In market basket analysis, to analyse the frequency of item purchase some higher values of support is required, hence K-Apriori is better compared to Apriori. Figure 5.1 analyses the frequent itemset generation for Apriori and K-Apriori algorithm with confidence as 100% for various support values.



Fig. 1 Apriori & K-Apriori Result analysis for Supermarket dataset with confidence =100%

Figure 5.2 depicts the performance analysis of Apriori and K-Apriori algorithms based on Association rule generation for various support values with 100% confidence. Exact rules are ARs with 100% confidence. Figure 5.2 gives the number of exact rules generated for the Apriori and K-Apriori algorithms.



Fig. 2 Exact rule generation for Apriori & K-Apriori algorithm for 100% confidence

Rules with higher support and confidence values are called strong rules. 213 FIs are generated for support = 35% and the ARs generated for various confidence levels are illustrated in table 2.

Table.2. K-Apriori algorithm variation on the ARs generated for vario	us
confidence levels with support $= 35\%$	

Confidence (%)	Total Number of Association rules		
40	576		
60	561		
80	405		
90	307		
100	220		



Fig.3. Apriori & K-Apriori Result analysis for Supermarket dataset with support =36\%

For a supermarket support value 36% is nominal hence K-Apriori is analysed with that value for different confidence levels in Table 2. K-Apriori generates 576 ARs for 40% confidence. As the confidence increases the number of ARs generated decreases. For 100% confidence, K-Apriori generates 220 ARs which proves the trustworthiness rules. Fig.3 depicts the K-Apriori algorithm AR generation for various confidence levels. K-Apriori algorithm divides the customers into different segments (clusters) initially. Then it finds the frequent itemsets and association rules for those categories separately. K-Apriori algorithm attempts to find consumer behaviours as groups, so that those specific groups of people can be satisfied effectively. Consider for example seasonal promotions can be provided for particular groups like Deepavali festive season which can improve the purchase and the profit.

Table 3. K-Apriori algorithm performance analysis for different number
of clusters with 100% Confidence

Dataset	Support (%)	Number of clusters			
307A160		2	3	5	
Number of	62	9	74	69	
Itemsets	50	33	109	570	
Number of	62	4	99	292	
rules	50	37	195	1791	

Table.3 shows that the total number of frequent itemsets increases as the number of clusters increases for the same support and confidence levels. If the number of groups increases means different ARs need to satisfy the specific groups with more number of ARs. Some groups can be neglected due to its negligible and insufficient information about customers.

As the number of clusters increases the number of FIs also increases which is depicted in Fig.4 since there are large number of variation in customer behaviours.

Based on the number of clusters, K-Apriori algorithm provides different number of ARs. If the number of clusters increases then the number of FIs and ARs generated also increases. It means that if the supermarket has more number of customer groups then to satisfy them different numbers of ARs are generated which is depicted in Fig.5.



Fig.4. K-Apriori Result analysis for Supermarket dataset with support =45% for different number of clusters



Fig. 5. Exact rule generation for K-Apriori with various numbers of clusters.

As the number of clusters plays an important role in K-Apriori algorithm, clustering must be done effectively. In K-Apriori algorithm, clustering based on Wiener transformation is done using K-means algorithm. The clustering efficiency is measured using the popular metrics like Inter-cluster and Intra-cluster distances.

Inter-cluster distance means the sum of distances between different clusters and it should be maximized. It means distance between the cluster centroids' must be high. The inter-cluster distance μ μ for K clusters $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K$ with centroids Z_i , i=1...K is given in eq. (1).

$$\mu(C_1, C_2, ..., C_K) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=i+1}^{K} |Z_i - Z_j|$$
(1)

Intra-cluster distance is the sum of distances between objects X_j , j=1... n, n<q in the same cluster and it

should be minimized. q is the number of attributes. The intra-cluster distance υ for K clusters $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K$ with C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_K centroids Z_i , i=1..K is given in eq. (2).

$$\upsilon(C_1, C_2, ..., C_K) = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{X_j \in C_i} |X_j - Z_i|$$
(2)

Table 4.Perfomance analysis of K-Apriori algorithm based on Customer segmentation

Number of Clusters	Intra-cluster distance	Inter-cluster distance	
5	4.37	15.8	
3	5.23	18.71	
2	8.23	33.33	

From table 4, it shows that the efficient clusters are generated with high inter-distance between clusters. Compact clusters with low intra-distance between elements. The efficiency in clustering implies the effective customer segmentation of the Anantha Store.

Table 5. Dimensionality variation on 2 clusters of K-Apriori for support=62% and confidence=100%

Dataset Size	Total Number of Frequent Itemsets	Total Number of Association Rules	
9620 X 477	31	27	
9620 X 377 9		4	
9620 X 277	7	2	

K-Apriori algorithm generates [I26, I34, I303, I311] as 4-itemsets for 9620 X 477 dataset. Association Rules derived from the 4-itemset are

I26 I34 → I303 I311	I303 I311 → I26 I34
I26 I303 → I34 I311	I26 I34 I303 → I311
I26 I311 → I34 I303	I26 I34 I311 → I303
I34 I303 → I26 I311	I34 I303 I311 → I26
I34 I311 → I26 I303	

These association rules imply that items I26, I34, I303, I311 are frequently purchased together in the Anantha Supermarket.



Fig.6. K-Apriori Result analysis for Supermarket dataset with support ${=}45\%$

In Anantha store, there are approximately 500 household items, out of which 50% of attributes are bought negligible. From the copy bills it is found that these items are purchased rarely hence these are neglected from analysis. To find the frequency of items common items are considered.

K-Apriori algorithm is executed with more number of attributes for the supermarket dataset and it is tabulated in Table 5. It shows that the total Number of FIs and ARs generated for K-Apriori algorithm is directly proportional to the number of attributes.

Table 6. K-Apriori algorithm for different number of transactions for 2 clusters with support=6% and confidence=100%

Dataset Size	13468X302		9620X302		6438X302	
	Apr iori	K- Apriori	Apr iori	K- Apriori	Apr iori	K- Apriori
FIs	32	19523	29	2009	27	11731
ARs	2	69048	2	96562	2	88072

Market Basket Analysis of Anantha Store based on various numbers of transactions are done in table 6. Two weeks has 13468 transactions, 10 days has 9620 and a week has 6438 transactions which are analysed in this table. This result shows that the total number of FIs and ARs generated increases as the number of records increases.

From the above results it is observed that the computational complexity is directly proportional to dimensionality and number of records. For sparse dataset like market databases, K-Apriori algorithm is the best algorithm for market basket analysis.

5. Conclusion

K-Apriori algorithm effectively generates highly informative frequent itemsets and association rules for the Anantha Stores. Anantha Stores widely used the market basket analyses to manage the placement of goods in their store layout. Related products are placed together in such a manner that customers can logically find items he/she might buy which increases the customer satisfaction and hence the profit. Customers are segmented and association rules are separately generated to satisfy their specific needs in a cost effective manner using some special promotions for the common groups. From the results it is shown that the market basket analysis using K-Apriori algorithm for Anantha stores improves its overall revenue.

References

- 1. Weng, S.-S., Liu, J.-L.: Feature-based recommendations for one-to-one marketing, *Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 26, 2004, pp. 493-508.*
- 2. Chen, Y.-L., Tang, K., Shen, R.-J., Hu, Y.-H.: Market basket analysis in a multiple store environment, *Decision Support Systems*, 2004.
- 3. Berry, M.J.A., Linoff, G.S.: *Data Mining Techniques: for Marketing, Sales and Customer Relationship Management (second edition)*, Hungry Minds Inc., 2004.
- 4. Agrawal R, Srikant R, Fast algorithms for mining association rules. In: *Proceedings of the 20th VLDB conference*,1994, pp 487–499.
- J. Han, H. Pei, and Y. Yin. Mining Frequent Patterns without Candidate Generation. In: *Proc. Conf. on the Management of Data SIGMOD'00*, ACM Press, New York, NY, USA 2000.
- J. Han and M. Kamber. *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniuqes*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, CA, 2001.
- Loraine Charlet Annie M.C. and Ashok Kumar D, "Frequent Item set mining for Market Basket Data using K-Apriori algorithm", *International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Informatics*, Volume 1, No. 1, 2011, pp.14-18.

