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Abstract
The wireless antennas have become essential to our life as can be seen at our homes, workplaces, schools, and some other common places. This paper describes the construction of three homemade wireless antennas operating in the frequency band of 2.4 Ghz and replicating the signal to increase the covering area of the network up to 10 meters without loss of the signal quality. Also, a comparative study against a commercial antenna is described, regarding the effectiveness and the efficiency, in order to determine the benefits of the proposed implementation.
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1. Introduction
Wireless networks have become hugely important for the development of new forms of communication, especially when the number and type of devices belonging to each person has increased with the proliferation of personal devices such as smartphones, tablets, PDA’s, and personal computers [1].

Wi-Fi technology offers us the possibility of fast connections via radio signals and consequently it is one of the most prevalent wireless communication technologies currently used in institutions. However, a Wi-Fi network can be very unstable and the quality of the connection may be variable in speed and quality of data transfer.

Among the suggestions made regarding the service provided by these networks are the following: reducing the service cost, providing transparent functionality, more stability in service quality and more access points [1]. Although the quality of data transmission can be produced by variable factors, 67% of the problems with Wi-Fi at home are caused by interference with other devices such as microwave ovens or the infrared signals of remote control devices, etc. Interference is caused when an electrical circuit is affected by electromagnetic induction or radio signals emitted by a nearby electronic device [2].

To improve the quality of data reception, one option is to replicate the signal at certain points in order to obtain better quality in terms of data transfer rates.

In the telecommunications world there are a variety of antennas. Some of them may be manufactured using traditional methods, which drastically reduces the cost. The features and quality level vary according to size and materials used.

This paper analyzes the effectiveness and efficiency of three antennas constructed with homemade materials, which were tested in different climatic environments and in different positions in order to compare the quality and intensity of the signal, also describing the advantages and disadvantages of these antennas with compared with one of the leading commercial antenna brands on the market.

There are some reports describing the creation of antennas made with diverse materials. In [3] an overview of techniques for broadcasting via mobile ad-hoc networks is presented. There, the results are compared and recommendations to improve the efficiency of broadcasting techniques are presented. Al-Saji [4] describes the study and analysis of a rectangular single slot patch antenna with a probe feed. The analysis method is employed in the frequency band of 1 GHz - 3 GHz.

Al-Shaheen [5] describes a patch antenna which operates in the frequency band at 2.45 GHz. This antenna is checked with diverse numerical techniques such as the Finite Element Method (FEM) and the Method of Moment (MoM). The observed results when a human body approaches the antenna indicate that both the antenna performance and the bandwidth are affected. These results demonstrate that the new antenna has a negligible effect in comparison with that of the rectangular patch antenna.

2. Development
In a transmitter or receiver device, the antenna is the part designed specifically for sending or receiving electromagnetic waves, which use air as its propagation medium [6]. In some cases, these antennas are constructed manually, which involves accurate measurement of the
component pieces that provide greater performance in the signal reception.

In constructing an antenna, it should be considered that each of the components must have certain specific measurements, amplitudes and phases to facilitate the reception of radio signals [7]. The following section describes the process of constructing homemade antennas on which this work is based, too considering some suggestions in [8] and [9].

2.1 Materials and physical dimensions of antennas

Next, the specific measurements and materials used in each of the three antennas are described, considering the materials that are in the medium.

The first antenna, called a double biquad antenna, consists of two main elements: the signal reflector and signal receiver called "double biquad receiver", which gets its name because its design resembles four squares. The materials used for the construction of this antenna are: 2.5mm copper wire, aluminum plate, N female chassis connector, screws and nuts that fit the holes to secure the connector N female to the reflector, and solder.

The wavelength (LO) of both the double biquad feeder and reflector, calculated considering a frequency (F) of 2.437GHz and the speed of light (C) is:

\[ LO = \frac{C}{F} = 123 \text{ mm} \]  

(3.1)

With this data, and where L is the length of the sides of each square of the feeder, AR is the height of the reflector, LR is the length of the reflector and D is the distance between the double biquad feeder and the reflector, the following measurements are obtained: L = (1/4)(LO) = 31 mm, AR = 105 mm, LR = 235.4 mm and D = (1/8) LO = 15.4 mm. Figure 1 shows the constructed antenna in which these values can be appreciated.

![Fig. 1. Double biquad antenna materials](image)

Once the antenna is assembled, plastic supports of 1.5 cm were used between the double biquad feeder and the reflector to keep the feeder adjusted and stable. The finished antenna is shown in Figure 2.

![Fig. 2. Double biquad antenna](image)

The second antenna was built based on the so-called helical antenna, which was invented by John Kraus [10], and its design is considered very simple and practical, especially for frequencies in the range of 2-5 GHz. The materials used to build this antenna are: 40 cm PVC tube of 40 mm with lids, aluminum plate, copper wire and N connector. The helical antenna can be considered similar to a spring with N spirals and a reflector.

The circumference (C) of one turn of the spiral is approximately one wavelength (l) and the distance (d) between curves is approximately one quarter of C. The size of the reflector (R) is equal to C and may be a circle or a square. Therefore, for an antenna of 2.43 GHz the measure of l is 12.34 cms.

The diameter of PVC conductor tube is obtained with the formula (3.2).

\[ D = \frac{l}{\pi} \]  

(3.2)

So, D = 39.3 mm and was rounded to 40 mm. When considering the copper spiral, the outer end diameter is 42 mm, due to the insulation thickness, such that:

\[ C = 42 \times \pi = 132 \text{ mm} \]  

(3.3)

\[ d = 0.25C \times 33 = 33 \text{ mm} \]  

(3.4)

Figure 3 shows the materials used with the aforementioned measures.

![Fig. 3. Helical antenna materials](image)
Figure 4 shows the fully constructed helical antenna.

The third antenna constructed, a so-called Yagi-Uda antenna, is a directional antenna invented by Hidetsugu Yagi and Shintaro Uda. In this antenna a simple dipole structure combined with parasitic elements known as reflector and directors is considered [7].

The following materials were needed to build this antenna: copper wire of 2.5 mm diameter and copper tube (called Boom) of 15 mm in diameter to serve as the basis of the 10 directors used.

For measurements of Yagi-Uda antenna, the Yagi Calculator program was used, considering an antenna operating at a frequency of 2.4 GHz. Once the values are rounded to actual measurements, the reflector is 70 mm, the radiator or folded dipole 68 mm and the space between the reflector and the Boom is 25 mm. Table 1 shows the measurements of each director, the position on the boom and dBi gain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dir (no.)</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Space (mm)</th>
<th>Position on the Boom (mm)</th>
<th>IT (mm)</th>
<th>Gain (dBi)</th>
<th>Gain (dBi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>143.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>177.6</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>214.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>293.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>336.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>380.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this model a half wavelength folded dipole was used. The distances provided by the software which were used in constructing the dipole of 68mm are observed in Figure 5 and described below.

Total rod length = 159mm
Rod center = 79 mm
Length of BC = CD = 21 mm
Length of HI = GF = 19 mm

Length of HA = GE = 38 mm
Length of HB = GD = 58 mm
Length of HC = GC = 79 mm
HG gap = 5 mm
Length of curved segment BI = DF = 25 mm

The wave pattern of a dipole is bidirectional, which means that the reflector directing the forward signal is aggregate, converting the wave pattern from bidirectional to directional.

To couple the dipole impedance with the transmission line (coaxial), an impedance coupler or balun is used. This is shown in Figure 6.

The balun is joined to the dipole in such a way that impedance is transmitted to the transmission line. Figure 7 shows the dipole-balun union.
4. Tests

Experimental tests were conducted at various places both indoors and outdoors.

4.1 Indoor tests

The first tests were performed inside a building with the access point placed in the central portion thereof (to try to cover the largest possible area). Both, a Linksys Wireless-B 802.11b access point and an EDUP 802.11N wireless network adapter were used.

In Figure 9 we can see the location points of the antennas within a home as well as the location of the access point.

Point 1 is located 10 meters from the access point. The signal path is hindered by a concrete wall and a wooden door. Point 2 is located in a room 15 meters away from the access point. The signal path is hindered by two concrete walls and a wooden door. Point 3 is located 10 meters away and the signal is hampered by a concrete wall with a glass window and wooden desk furniture.

Table 2 shows the intensity of the received signal with each of the antennas at different locations in the house. (Commercial antenna AC, double biquad antenna A1, Helical Antenna A2, Yagi-Uda antenna A3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antennas</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averaging the values obtained for each antenna in the three measurement points, and considering the midpoint between the lowest and the highest signal of each of them, the following average values were obtained: 82.5% for AC, 89.5% for A1, 91.5% for A2 and 90% for A3.

Figures 10, 11 and 12 show the behavior of the signal received at each location for each antenna built respectively.

Figure 10 shows that antenna A2 captures the signal with more noise than the antennas A1 and A3. In Figure 11 we see that the antenna A2 receives a better signal range and...
is more stable. Figure 12 shows that the antennas operate in a manner very similar in this point, besides they achieve good percentages in signal quality.

4.2. Outdoor tests

Outdoor tests were conducted in some areas of the University. Three locations were chosen for measuring the signal transmitted by the access point. Figure 13 shows the location points of the antennas as well as the access point.

Point 1 is located inside a building, at a distance of 17 m from the access point; the signal is limited by concrete walls and glass as well as office furniture. Point 2 is located in the shrubbery 65 m from the access point; the signal is interrupted by some trees. Point 3 is near the furthest away classrooms at a distance of 50 meters without obstacles.

Table 3 shows the intensity of the received signal with each of the antennas at different locations in the test area. (commercial antenna AC, double biquad antenna A1, Helical Antenna A2, Yagi-Uda antenna A3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antennas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Averaging the values obtained for each antenna in the three measurement points, and considering the midpoint between the lowest and the highest signal of each of them, the following average values were obtained: 60% for AC, 71% for A1, 68% for A2 and 82% for A3. Figures 14, 15 and 16 show the behavior of the received signal at each point for each homemade antenna respectively.
Fig. 14. Behavior of the signal at point 1.

In Figure 14 it can be noted that the first two antennas show similar behavior, while the third antenna maintains a shorter but stable range with less signal interruptions.

Fig. 15: Behavior of the signal at point 2

Figure 16 shows that antenna A2 has a larger received signal range but when compared to the other antennas in this range is less stable.

In indoor tests we observed that the helical antenna A2 showed better performance than commercial antenna AC with a percentage difference of 9% thus obtaining a signal average of 91.5% while the commercial antenna obtained 82.5%. Thus the percentage difference between the A1 and the AC is 7% and between AC and A3 it is 7.5%.

In outdoor tests we observed that the Yagi-Uda antenna A3 showed better performance with a signal average of 82% while commercial antenna AC obtained an average of 60% maintaining a percentage difference of 22%. So, the difference between AC and A1 was 11% and between AC and A2 was 18%.

Averaging the midpoint of each of the outdoor antennas with the midpoint of the indoor antennas respectively the following results were obtained: for AC 71.25%, for A1 80.25%, for A2 79.75% and for A3 86%.

One of the main advantages of the homemade antennas is the option to install them outdoors, allowing them to capture more radio signal, since by using larger materials than commercial antennas a better signal range is achieved.

Tables of results show that homemade antennas have better performance at places where the signal is not adversely affected by obstacles, because these antennas are
directional type as opposed to commercial antennas, which are omnidirectional type and therefore receive radio signals in various directions.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we described the construction of three antennas using household materials available locally. In performed tests it was observed that with the hand-built antennas the intensity of the wireless signal increased. The quality of the signal intensity depends on the location of the access point and the obstacles that are in the intermediate points.

Based on the observed measurements of the three hand-built antennas and the commercial antenna, we can conclude that the antenna "Yagi-Uda" shows better results. Considering the differences in percentages obtained both indoors and outdoors between each of the built antennas with respect to commercial antenna, it can be seen that there is a higher percentage difference in outdoor testing.

For this reason it is clear that the homemade antennas are better when there are no obstacles in place since these antennas use a directional type design.
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