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Abstract

We propose an improved software registration system from our previous research. Our improvements are mainly as follows. (1) Changing basic field to make the scheme suitable for all characters. (2) Changing encryption and decryption formulae to make the scheme more complex. (3) Using the technique of letter decomposition and composition to make the scheme more deceptive to a possible adversary. (4) Using mobile phone in the system to enhance the security. Experimental results and analysis show that the improvements are successful and the scheme is viable and secure.
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1. Introduction

Copy protection for computer software started a long cat-and-mouse struggle between publishers and crackers. These were (and are) programmers who would defeat copy protection on software as a hobby, add their alias to the title screen, and then distribute the “cracked” product to the network BBSes or Internet sites that specialized in distributing unauthorized copies of software [1]. Research on the topic never ends. In [2], we proposed a scheme of registration with Vandermonde matrix in a Galois field $GF(p)$, which is an application of Hill cipher [8]. In this paper, we further discuss the improvements of the method. Our improvements are mainly as follows. (1) Using the Galois field $GF(2^m)$ instead of $GF(p)$ to make the scheme suitable for all characters. (2) Using matrix equation $Y = V^{-1}X + C$ instead of $Y = V^{-1}X$ to make the scheme more complex. (3) Using the technique of letter decomposition and composition to make the scheme more deceptive to a possible adversary. (4) Using mobile phone in the system to enhance the security. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly introduce our original research in $GF(p)$. In Section 3, we propose some novel ideas to improve our original scheme. In Section 4, we design the registration system. In Section 5, we give experimental results and analysis. We conclude the paper in Section 6.

2. Original Scheme

Agree on permission control character string such as PROFESSIONALVERSION on both sides of the vendor and user. Then we take $n$ different characters $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n$, from hard id [7] to create a Vandermonde matrix in a Galois field $GF(p)$, where $p$ is a prime. That is $V = V(\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n)$

$$V = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mu_1^{n-1} & \mu_2^{n-1} & \cdots & \mu_n^{n-1}
\end{pmatrix} \mod p \quad (1)$$

Then we obtain a determinant formula

$$det(V) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (p + \mu_i - \mu_j) \mod p \quad (2)$$
It follows from $\mu_i$s are different from each other that $V$ is invertible. The fast computation of the inverse of $V$ is

$$A = V^{-1}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n) = HL \mod p \quad (3)$$

where $H$ is an upper triangular matrix and $L$ a lower triangular one. The elements of each can be obtained from recursive formulae. Let

$$X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T$$

be the plain text, define a linear mapping:

$$X \mapsto Y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^T = VX \mod p \quad (4)$$

as an encryption algorithm, which is equivalent to the following linear functions:

$$\begin{align*}
y_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \\
y_2 &= \mu_1x_1 + \mu_2x_2 + \cdots + \mu_nx_n \mod p \\
y_n &= \mu_1^{-1}x_1 + \mu_2^{-1}x_2 + \cdots + \mu_n^{-1}x_n
\end{align*} \quad (5)$$

The decryption algorithm is

$$X = V^{-1}Y = AY \mod p \quad (6)$$

which is equivalent to the following linear functions:

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 &= a_{11}y_1 + a_{12}y_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}y_n \\
x_2 &= a_{21}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}y_n \\
x_n &= a_{n1}y_1 + a_{n2}y_2 + \cdots + a_{nn}y_n
\end{align*} \quad (7)$$

In order to minimize the set of necessary formulae on the user’s side, we use $A = V^{-1}$ on the vendor’s side as the encryption key while $V$ on the user’s side as the decryption key. On the vendor’s side, we take the permission control string as the plain text $X$. We compute $Y = V^{-1}X \mod p$ as the cipher text. On the user’s side, we use $X = VY \mod p$ to verify the registration. Our original scheme takes $p = 37$ as the modulus, choose 10 numbers and 26 capital letters and the symbol ‘$’ . Lower case letters are converted to upper ones. Other symbols are ignored. The key space is $37 \cdot 36 \cdots (37 - n + 1)$ for a given $n$.

3. Improved Scheme

3.1 Basic field of the scheme

To make the scheme universal, we define our computation in the field $GF(2^m)$. In fact, $GF(2^m)$ is congruent to $Z_2[x]/f(x)$, where $Z_2[x]$ is the polynomial ring over $Z_2$, $f(x)$ is a primitive polynomial of $Z_2[x]$. In $Z_2[x]/f(x)$, the addition of polynomials $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ is defined by $\sigma(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$.With the character of $Z_2$ being 2, for arbitrary $\alpha(x) \in Z_2[x]$, we have $\alpha(x) + \alpha(x) = 0$. The multiplication of polynomials and is defined by $\pi(x) = \alpha(x) \beta(x) \mod f(x)$. See more details in [4, 5, 6]. If we take $m = 8$ , $GF(2^8)$ is just the letter set of extended ASCII. This means we can use every symbol in a plain text. However, that will cause the problem of unprintable letters in the registration string. We use the decomposition of letters to solve this problem by splitting a letter into two, each is in the range of {0, 1, 2, \ldots, 9, A, B, C, D, E, F}. Furthermore, we plus each value with ‘A’ in the generation of a registration string. For example, if a letter with the value 0 is used for a registration string, we really see it as ‘A’. Similarly, we see ‘B’ for value 1, ‘C’ for value 2 through ‘P’ for value F. This can easily be realized in C language. Suppose c is in the cipher text, the decomposition and conversion is expressed by

$$c_1 = c/0xa10 + 'A'; \quad c_2 = c/0xa10 + 'A';$$

This method also increases the complexity of the encryption. Bravo! It actually butters both sides of our bread.

3.2 Fast computation of $V^{-1} = HL$

We develop the method in [3] to use it in $GF(2^m)$. Let $L$ be the matrix whose rows are associated with the coefficients of the polynomials

$$\begin{cases}
\psi_1(s) = 1 \\
\psi_i = (s + \mu_j)\psi_{i-1}(s)
\end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$L$ can be denoted by

$$L(1, s, \cdots, s^{n-1})^T = (\psi_1(s), \psi_2(s), \cdots, \psi_n(s))^T$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix}
1_{l_1} & l_{12} & \cdots & l_{1n} \\
l_{21} & 1_{l_2} & \cdots & l_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
l_{n1} & l_{n2} & \cdots & 1_{ln}
\end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Let $l_{ii} = 1, l_{ij} = 0 (i \neq j)$. \quad (10)

$$\begin{cases}
l_{i+1,1} = (\mu_j) \cdot l_{i,i-1} \\
l_{i+1,j} = l_{i,j-1} \\
\quad j = 2, 3, \cdots, i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n
\end{cases} \quad (11)$$
Let the initial vector $h_n = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n)^T$ be determined from the partial fraction expansion

$$\frac{1}{(s + \mu_1) \cdots (s + \mu_n)} = \frac{c_1}{s + \mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{c_n}{s + \mu_n}.$$  

Denote $H$ by

$$H = (h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n)^T$$  

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \psi_{j+1}(\mu_i), & \text{if } i \leq j \\ 0, & \text{if } i > j \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Denote $d(s)$ by

$$d(s) = (\mu_1 + s, \mu_2 + s, \cdots, \mu_n + s)^T$$  

Let

$$h_{i-1} = h_i \otimes d(\mu_i), i = n, n - 1, \cdots, 2$$  

ending at $h_1 = (1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0)^T$. The right side of (14) is the inner product of $h_i$ and $d(\mu_i)$, i.e., if $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)^T, v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)^T$ then

$$h_i \otimes d(\mu_i) = (u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2, \cdots, u_n v_n)^T$$  

It follows that the formulae to compute the elements in the upper triangular matrix $H$ are given by

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\psi_{j+1}(\mu_i)}, & \text{if } i \leq j \\ 0, & \text{if } i > j \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\psi_{j+1}(\mu_i) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{j-1} (\mu_i + \mu_k). \tag{18}$$

### 3.3 Improvements of the linear mappings

In the field $GF(2^8)$, Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1m} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nm} \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

be the plain text, and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1m} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nm} \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

be the same size as $X$. Then

$$Y = V^{-1} X + C$$

is the matrix containing cipher text.

This is equivalent to $m$ groups of linear mappings as follows.

$$\begin{align*}
y_{11} &= a_{11} x_{11} + \cdots + a_{1n} x_{n1} + c_{11} \\
y_{21} &= a_{21} x_{11} + \cdots + a_{2n} x_{n1} + c_{21} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
y_{1n} &= a_{1n} x_{11} + \cdots + a_{2n} x_{n1} + c_{n1} \\
y_{12} &= a_{11} x_{12} + \cdots + a_{1n} x_{n2} + c_{12} \\
y_{22} &= a_{21} x_{12} + \cdots + a_{2n} x_{n2} + c_{22} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
y_{1m} &= a_{11} x_{1m} + \cdots + a_{1n} x_{nm} + c_{1m} \\
y_{2m} &= a_{21} x_{1m} + \cdots + a_{2n} x_{nm} + c_{2m} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
y_{nm} &= a_{1n} x_{1m} + \cdots + a_{2n} x_{nm} + c_{nm}
\end{align*} \tag{21}$$

In the field of character $2$, the decryption is expressed as follows.

$$X = V(Y - C) = V(Y + C).$$

This is equivalent to $m$ groups of linear mappings as follows.

$$\begin{align*}
x_{11} &= y_{11} + \cdots + y_{n1} \\
x_{21} &= \mu_1 y_{11} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{n1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n1} &= \mu_1 y_{11} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{n1} \\
x_{12} &= y_{12} + \cdots + y_{n2} \\
x_{22} &= \mu_1 y_{12} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{n2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n2} &= \mu_1 y_{12} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{n2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{1m} &= y_{1m} + \cdots + y_{nm} \\
x_{2m} &= \mu_1 y_{1m} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{nm} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{nm} &= \mu_1 y_{1m} + \cdots + \mu_n y_{nm}
\end{align*} \tag{24}$$

where $y_{ij} = y_{ij} - c_{ij} = y_{ij} + c_{ij}$. This cipher is obviously more complex compared with the original one. The security is enhanced.
3.4 Use of mobile phone as a receiver

In our original scheme, we assumed that the user got the registration string via web service or email. Now we propose the registration string can also be received as a message via a mobile phone.

Step1 The user pays for the software and submits personal information, e.g., hard id, name, mobile phone number to the server via web;
Step2 The server checks the submission;
Step3 The server creates a registration string;
Step4 The server sends the registration string to the user’s mobile phone via wireless tunnel;
Step5 The user reads the message and registers the software. The flow of the process is shown by Fig. Registration steps.

4. Registration scheme

Set preliminary conditions on both sides of the vendor and user:
A character string as a permission control string $p_1$; dimension $n$.

4.1 The Creation of registration string

The user submits the message as follows. Hard id (id for short), name, mobile phone number (mph for short).

On the vendor’s side, the server computes as follows:

Input: $id, name, mph$
Output: Registration string like $r = x_{11} - x_{12} - \cdots - x_{1m}$

Algorithm:
Step1 Selects different elements from $id$, forms a new $id_1$;
Step2 Creates matrices of lower triangular $L$ and upper triangular $H$ from $id_1$ as shown in subsection 3.2;
Step3 Computes the inverse of Vandermonde matrix $V^{-1} = HL$;
Step4 Appends necessary dots to the permission control string $p_1$ to fit the dimension, puts the result in $p_2$;
Step5 Puts $p_2$ into matrix $X$ and creates a matrix $C$ from name which matches dimensions in step4, using the elements of name circularly.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1m} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1m} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Step6 Computes $Y = V^{-1}X + C$;
Step7 Decomposes $Y$ and adds $'A'$ to each element respectively to update $Y$;
Step8 Takes elements from $Y$ to create a registration string like $r = x_{11} - x_{12} - \cdots - x_{1m}$.

Then the server sends the registration string above to the user’s mobile phone via wireless tunnel.

4.2 The use of registration string

Reading the registration string from mobile phone, the user keys in to register the software. Input: Registration string like $r = x_{11} - x_{12} - \cdots - x_{1m}$.

Output: $TRUE/\text{FALSE}$

Algorithm:
Step1 Takes elements from $r$ to create a matrix $Y$
Step1 Select different elements from id, forms a new id1:

\[ id_1 = 5VP067Q; \]

Step2 Creates lower triangular and upper triangular matrices:

\[
L = \begin{pmatrix}
01 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
35 & 01 & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
27 & 63 & 01 & 00 & 00 \\
BE & 22 & 33 & 01 & 00 \\
19 & 3A & 2B & 03 & 01 \\
B0 & CC & DF & 27 & 35 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
01 & 18 & 73 & 99 & 92 & 37 \\
00 & 01 & 19 & BE & A2 & 8B \\
00 & 00 & 18 & 95 & B3 & 39 \\
00 & 00 & 00 & 73 & 88 & 17 \\
00 & 00 & 00 & 99 & A5 & 00 \\
00 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 92 & \end{pmatrix}
\]

Step3 Computes \( V^{-1} = HL \)

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
07 & A3 & C0 & A2 & 83 & 85 \\
A5 & 10 & BA & F5 & D3 & 06 \\
B1 & AA & F0 & D7 & FE & 73 \\
CD & F5 & 86 & 71 & 2D & 64 \\
92 & 53 & 50 & 8A & F8 & 39 \\
4D & BF & 5C & 7B & 7B & AD \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Step4 Appends 2 dots to the permission control string to fit the dimension,

\[ p_2 = VIPversion..; \]

Step5 Puts \( p_2, name \) into matrices:

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix}
V & s \\
I & i \\
P & o \\
v & n \\
e & . \\
r & . \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

or

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
56 & 73 \\
49 & 69 \\
50 & 6F \\
76 & 6E \\
65 & 2E \\
72 & 2E \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

then

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
A & l \\
l & i \\
i & c \\
e & c \\
e & A \\
A & l \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

or

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
41 & 6C \\
6C & 69 \\
69 & 63 \\
63 & 65 \\
65 & 41 \\
41 & 6C \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which matche dimension in step4;

Step6 Computes

\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix}
64 & F8 \\
F2 & C0 \\
19 & C0 \\
B1 & 08 \\
3D & 79 \\
56 & D4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

5. Experimental results and analysis

Embeds in the software on both sides of the vendor and user:

\[ p_1 = VIPversion \]

as a permission control string; Agree on dimension \( n = 6 \).

5.1 The Creation of registration string

The user submits the messages as follows.

\[ id = 5VP0567Q, name = Alice, mph = 0123456789. \]

On the vendor’s side, the server computes as follows.

Input:

\[ id = 5VP0567Q, name = Alice; \]

Output:

\[ r = GEPCBJ - LBDNFG - PIMAMA - AIHJNE; \]

Algorithm:

Step1 Selects different elements from id, forms a new id1:

\[ id_1 = 5VP067Q; \]

Step2 Computes Vandermonde matrix \( V \) from local hardware id, id1;

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix}
01 & 00 & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
35 & 01 & 00 & 00 & 00 \\
27 & 63 & 01 & 00 & 00 \\
BE & 22 & 33 & 01 & 00 \\
19 & 3A & 2B & 03 & 01 \\
B0 & CC & DF & 27 & 35 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

On the vendor’s side, the server computes as follows:

\[ vp = 50567, name = ALICE, mph = 0123456789. \]

The result is

\[ Step10 \]

The verification is successful, the registration will be approved, otherwise it will be defied. A registered software picks up the registration string automatically and verifies it according to the above routine to decide which functions the software can use.
In the same way as step5 in last subsection, Step3 takes elements from Step1 to create a registration string: \( r = \text{GEPCBJ} - \text{LBDNFG} - \text{PIMAMA} - \text{AIHJNE} \); Then the server sends the registration string to the user’s mobile phone via wireless tunnel.

5.2 The use of registration string

Reading the registration string from mobile phone, the user keys in to register the software.

**Input:**

\( r = \text{GEPCBJ} - \text{LBDNFG} - \text{PIMAMA} - \text{AIHJNE} \)

**Output:**

\( \text{TRUE/FALSE} \)

**Algorithm:**

**Step1** Takes elements from

\( r = \text{GEPCBJ} - \text{LBDNFG} - \text{PIMAMA} - \text{AIHJNE} \)

to get a matrix

\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix}
G & L & P & A \\
E & B & I & I \\
P & D & M & H \\
C & N & A & J \\
B & F & M & N \\
J & G & A & E \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Step2** Minuses ‘A’ from each element of \( Y \) to get a new matrix

\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix}
64 & F8 \\
F2 & C0 \\
19 & C0 \\
B1 & 08 \\
3D & 79 \\
56 & D4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Step3** In the same way as step5 in last subsection, creates

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
A & l \\
l & i \\
i & c \\
e & c \\
e & A \\
A & l \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Step4** In the field of character 2, computes

\[
Y - C = Y + C = \begin{pmatrix}
25 & 94 \\
9E & A9 \\
70 & A3 \\
D2 & 6D \\
58 & 38 \\
17 & B8 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Step5** Computes Vandermonde matrix

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix}
01 & 01 & 01 & 01 & 01 \\
35 & 56 & 50 & 36 & 37 \\
96 & D9 & CD & 87 & 93 & 92 \\
D0 & 5F & 33 & 05 & AF & 0B \\
DA & 69 & 52 & F0 & CB & CA \\
33 & B4 & 6D & CD & 5D & A1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

from local hardware

\( id = 5V \text{P067Q}, id1 = 5V \text{P067Q} \);

**Step6** Computes a possible plain text

\[
X = V(Y - C) = V(Y + C)
\]

**Step7** Obtains

\( p_2 = \text{VIPversion..} \);

from \( X \);

**Step8** Removes 2 redundant dots at the end to get \( p_1 = \text{VIPversion} \);

**Step9** Compares \( p_1 \) with the preliminary one;

**Step10** The result is \( \text{TRUE} \).

5.3 Analysis of the results

In our novel scheme, the computations are performed in \( GF(2^n) \) to make the scheme suitable for the whole extended ASCII table. Meanwhile, the key space expands from \( 37 \cdot 36 \cdots (37 - n + 1) \) for a given \( 256 \cdot 255 \cdots (256 - n + 1) \). The uses of mobile phone, user’s name, the decomposition and composition of letters also enhance the cipher. Experimental results show the success of the scheme.

6. Conclusions

It follows from Experimental results and analysis that the novel scheme is enhanced. We get better results in our further research.
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